

Eric P. Waeckerlin – *Pro Hac Vice Pending*
 Kathleen Schroder – *Pro Hac Vice Pending*
 Erin K. Murphy – Wyo. Bar No. 7-4691
 Davis Graham & Stubbs LLP
 1550 17th Street, Suite 500
 Denver, Colorado 80202
 Tel: 303.892.9400
 Fax: 303.893.1379
 Eric.Waeckerlin@dgsllaw.com
 Katie.Schroder@dgsllaw.com
 Erin.Murphy@dgsllaw.com

*Attorneys for Petitioners Western Energy Alliance
 and the Independent Petroleum Association of America*

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 FOR THE DISTRICT OF WYOMING**

WESTERN ENERGY ALLIANCE, and the)
 INDEPENDENT PETROLEUM)
 ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA,)
)
 Petitioners,)
)
 v.)
)
 SALLY JEWELL, in her official capacity as)
 Secretary of the United States Department of)
 the Interior, and BUREAU OF LAND)
 MANAGEMENT,)
)
 Respondents.)

Civil Case No. _____

PETITION FOR REVIEW OF FINAL AGENCY ACTION

Petitioners Western Energy Alliance (the Alliance) and the Independent Petroleum Association of America (IPAA) respectfully submit this Petition for Review of Final Agency Action (Petition) under the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. §§ 701-706 (APA) and U.S.D.C.L.R. 83.6. On November 15, 2016, the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) within the

United States Department of the Interior issued a final rule intended to regulate the waste of natural gas from flaring, venting, and leaks from oil and natural gas production activities on onshore federal and Indian oil and gas leases and certain non-federal oil and gas leases. See “Waste Prevention, Production Subject to Royalties, and Resources Conservation: Final Rule” (Venting and Flaring Rule).¹

The Venting and Flaring Rule represents unlawful and unconstitutional agency action. The APA requires a reviewing court to hold unlawful and set aside agency action found to be contrary to constitutional right, arbitrary and capricious, an abuse of discretion, contrary to law, or in excess of statutory jurisdiction or authority. 5 U.S.C. § 706(2). Major portions of the Venting and Flaring Rule seek to regulate air quality from and at oil and gas production facilities on public and Indian lands and on some non-federal lands; BLM lacks the Congressionally-delegated authority to do so. See *Bowen v. Georgetown Univ. Hosp.*, 488 U.S. 204, 208 (1988); see also 42 U.S.C. §§ 7401-7671q (Congress delegating exclusive authority to comprehensively regulate air quality under the Clean Air Act to the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), state and local governments, and the tribes, not the BLM); *Wyoming Outdoor Council, et. al.* 176 IBLA 15, 26 (2008) (holding EPA and the states, not BLM, have exclusive authority to promulgate comprehensive air quality control regulations). In this respect the Venting and Flaring Rule is unconstitutional, in excess of BLM’s statutory authority, and otherwise not in accordance with law. *Adams Fruit Co. v. Barrett*, 494 U.S. 638, 649 (1990) (“Although agency determinations within the scope of delegated authority are entitled to

¹ See https://www.blm.gov/wo/st/en/prog/energy/oil_and_gas/methane_and_waste.html. An electronic copy of the Venting and Flaring Rule will be filed with the Court when it is published in the Federal Register.

deference, it is fundamental ‘that an agency may not bootstrap itself into an area in which it has no jurisdiction.’”) (quoting *Federal Maritime Comm’n v. Seatrain Lines, Inc.*, 411 U.S. 726, 745 (1973)).

The Venting and Flaring Rule also is arbitrary and capricious, an abuse of discretion, not supported by the administrative record, procedurally deficient, and does not represent reasoned decision making. Among other things, the Venting and Flaring Rule places arbitrary limits on flaring, relies on flawed scientific, engineering, and economic assumptions and methodologies to estimate regulatory impacts, improperly relies on EPA air quality rules and the administrative record underlying those rules, which themselves are being litigated, and conflicts with or unlawfully usurps the primary jurisdiction of state and tribal governments. For these and other reasons, the Court should invalidate and set aside the Venting and Flaring Rule and order temporary, or preliminary and permanent injunctive or other relief as the Petitioner may subsequently request.

This Court has federal question jurisdiction over this Petition under 28 U.S.C. § 1331. Respondent Sally Jewell is an officer of the United States; Respondent BLM is an entity of the United States Government. The United States has waived its sovereign immunity under the APA, 5 U.S.C. § 704.

Dated this 15 day of November, 2010.

DAVIS GRAHAM & STUBBS LLP

By: /s/ 

Eric P. Waeckerlin – *Pro Hac Vice Pending*

Kathleen Schroder – *Pro Hac Vice Pending*

Erin K. Murphy – Wyo. Bar No. 7-4691

1550 17th Street, Suite 500

Denver, Colorado 80202

Tel: 303.892.9400

Fax: 303.893.1379

Eric.Waeckerlin@dgsllaw.com

Katie.Schroder@dgsllaw.com

Erin.Murphy@dgsllaw.com

*Attorneys for Petitioners Western Energy
Alliance and the Independent Petroleum
Association of America*